



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/853,014	05/10/2001	Peter Schafer	A34196 PCT USA-A	5113	
75	90 03/08/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Andreas Grubert			BURCH, MELODY M		
Baker Botts					
One Shell Plaza	i		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
910 Louisiana St			3683		
Houston, TX	77002-4995		DATE MAILED: 03/08/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	Application No.	Applicant(s)	$\bigcap \mathcal{W}$			
Advisory Action	09/853,014	SCHAFER ET AL.	(10			
, maricery reason	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Melody M. Burch	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 26 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverset, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE see on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in the statutory period for the st	the final rejection. FINAL REJECTION. S 36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: see number 5.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NC	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: changing "vehicle instability" to the specific recitation of "a risk of swerving or skidding" raises a new issue in the claims that requires further consideration and search. With regards to the objections, Examiner notes that Applicant amended claim 17 to read "second signals" in line 2 and "second control signals" in lines 3-4 which could lead to confusion due to the claim language inconsistency.

43/04

JACK LAVINDER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINEF.
TECHNYLOGY CENTER 3600